

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/161,257	09/25/98	BUAZZA		. 0	5040-03703
Г		**************************************			EXAMINER
ERIC B MEYERTONS CONLEY ROSE & TAYON PC		IM22/0313		VARGOT	, M
				. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 398 AUSTIN TX 78	3767-0398			1732	う つ
				DATE MAILED): 03/13/00 ′

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

OP/161,257

Examiner

H-VARAGE

Applicant(s)

BVAZZA

Group Art Unit

1732

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the communication	over sheet beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response	2 DAAL		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response well NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire Single to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, can be such as the period for response will, by statute, can be such as the period for response will. 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status	· .		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal naccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 			
Disposition of Claims			
**Claim(s) 140-22(is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
⊡ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s) 【YoーZZI			
Application Papers	requirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is 〔	□ approved □ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority of	documents have been		
received.	••		
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Box 			
*Certified copies not received:	•		
Attachment(s)	•		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTG-413		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. s-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.____

Application/Control Number: 09/161,257 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 140-156, 158-193, 216 and 218-221, drawn to a lens forming composition, classified in class 523, subclass 106.

II. Claims 157, 194-214 and 217, drawn to a method of making an eyeglass lens, classified in class 264, subclass 1.36.

III. Claim 215, drawn to a lens, classified in class 351, subclass 163.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by other methods such as using a thermal initiator and curing by heat instead of by light.

Inventions I/II and I/III are related as composition and either process of using same or product made therefrom. These inventions are considered to be separate or distinct in that the composition can be used in other processes to make other products (ie, the making of face shields and skylights, injection molding methods or extrusion to make transparent articles).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Should applicant elect Group I, the following different species have been identified:

Application/Control Number: 09/161,257 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

species A, that employing first and second photochromics and a light effector (claims 140-155) species B, that using a dye composition (claim 156) species C, that using a polyethylenic polyether monomer and photoinitiator (claims 159-193, 216 and 218-221)

Should applicant elect Group II, the following different species have been identified:

species D, a method of altering the activated color of a photochromic lens (claim 157) species E, a method of making an eyeglass lens (claims 194-214 and 217)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/161,257 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Meyertons on February 22, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is (703) 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M. Vargot

March 9, 2000

4. Vazət Lintulu D. Vargot Prinary Examiner Croup 1913

3/9/01